

# Two electrons on a hypersphere: a quasi-exactly solvable model

Pierre-François Loos and Peter M. W. Gill\*

*Research School of Chemistry, Australian National University,  
Canberra, Australian Capital Territory 0200, Australia*

(Dated: February 17, 2010)

We show that the exact wave function for two electrons, interacting through a Coulomb potential but constrained to remain on the surface of a  $\mathcal{D}$ -sphere ( $\mathcal{D} \geq 1$ ), is a polynomial in the interelectronic distance  $u$  for a countably infinite set of values of the radius  $R$ . A selection of these radii, and the associated energies, are reported for ground and excited states on the singlet and triplet manifolds. We conclude that the  $\mathcal{D} = 3$  model bears the greatest similarity to normal physical systems.

PACS numbers: 31.15.ac, 31.15.ve, 31.15.vj

Keywords: Schrödinger equation, exact solution, quasi-exactly solvable model, electron correlation, hypersphere, spherium

Quantum mechanical models for which it is possible to solve explicitly for a finite portion of the energy spectrum are said to be quasi-exactly solvable [1]. They have ongoing value and are useful both for illuminating more complicated systems and for testing and developing theoretical approaches, such as density functional theory (DFT) [2–4] and explicitly correlated methods [5–8]. One of the most famous two-body models is the Hooke’s law atom which consists of a pair of electrons, repelling Coulombically but trapped in a harmonic external potential with force constant  $k$ . This system was first considered nearly 50 years ago by Kestner and Sinanoglu [9], solved analytically in 1989 for one particular  $k$  value [10], and later for a countably infinite set of  $k$  values [11].

A related system consists of two electrons trapped on the surface of a sphere of radius  $R$ . This has been used by Berry and collaborators [12–15] to understand both weakly and strongly correlated systems and to suggest an “alternating” version of Hund’s rule [16]. Seidl utilized this system to develop new correlation functionals [17] within the adiabatic connection in DFT [18]. We will use the term “spherium” to describe this system.

In recent work [19], we examined various schemes and described a method for obtaining near-exact estimates of the  $^1S$  ground state energy of spherium for any given  $R$ . Because the corresponding Hartree-Fock (HF) energies are also known exactly [19], this is now one of the most complete theoretical models for understanding electron correlation effects.

In this Letter, we consider  $\mathcal{D}$ -spherium, the generalization in which the two electrons are trapped on a  $\mathcal{D}$ -sphere of radius  $R$ . We adopt the convention that a  $\mathcal{D}$ -sphere is the surface of a  $(\mathcal{D} + 1)$ -dimensional ball. (Thus, for example, the Berry system is 2-spherium.) We show that the Schrödinger equation for the  $^1S$  and the  $^3P$  states can be solved exactly for a countably infinite set of  $R$  values and that the resulting wave functions are polynomials in the interelectronic distance  $u = |\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2|$ . Other spin and angular momentum states can be addressed in the same way using the ansatz derived by Breit [20].

The electronic Hamiltonian, in atomic units, is

$$\hat{H} = -\frac{\nabla_1^2}{2} - \frac{\nabla_2^2}{2} + \frac{1}{u} \quad (1)$$

and because each electron moves on a  $\mathcal{D}$ -sphere, it is natural to adopt hyperspherical coordinates [21, 22].

For  $^1S$  states, it can be then shown [19] that the wave function  $S(u)$  satisfies the Schrödinger equation

$$\left[ \frac{u^2}{4R^2} - 1 \right] \frac{d^2 S}{du^2} + \left[ \frac{(2\mathcal{D} - 1)u}{4R^2} - \frac{\mathcal{D} - 1}{u} \right] \frac{dS}{du} + \frac{S}{u} = ES \quad (2)$$

By introducing the dimensionless variable  $x = u/2R$ , this becomes a Heun equation [23] with singular points at  $x = -1, 0, +1$ . Based on our previous work [19] and the known solutions of the Heun equation [24], we seek wave functions of the form

$$S(u) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} s_k u^k \quad (3)$$

and substitution into (2) yields the recurrence relation

$$s_{k+2} = \frac{s_{k+1} + \left[ k(k + 2\mathcal{D} - 2) \frac{1}{4R^2} - E \right] s_k}{(k + 2)(k + \mathcal{D})} \quad (4)$$

with the starting values

$$\{s_0, s_1\} = \begin{cases} \{0, 1\} & \mathcal{D} = 1 \\ \{1, 1/(\mathcal{D} - 1)\} & \mathcal{D} \geq 2 \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

Thus, the Kato cusp conditions [25] are

$$S(0) = 0 \quad \frac{S''(0)}{S'(0)} = 1 \quad (6)$$

for electrons on a circle ( $\mathcal{D} = 1$ ) and

$$\frac{S'(0)}{S(0)} = \frac{1}{\mathcal{D} - 1} \quad (7)$$

in higher dimensions. We note that the “normal” Kato value of  $1/2$  arises for  $\mathcal{D} = 3$ , suggesting that this may be

TABLE I: Radius  $R$ , energy  $E$  and wave function  $S(u)$  or  $T(u)$  of the first  $^1S$  and  $^3P$  polynomial solutions for two electrons on a  $\mathcal{D}$ -sphere

| State | $\mathcal{D}$ | $2R$        | $E$   | $S(u)$ or $T(u)$ |
|-------|---------------|-------------|-------|------------------|
| $^1S$ | 1             | $\sqrt{6}$  | $2/3$ | $u(1 + u/2)$     |
|       | 2             | $\sqrt{3}$  | 1     | $1 + u$          |
|       | 3             | $\sqrt{10}$ | $1/2$ | $1 + u/2$        |
|       | 4             | $\sqrt{21}$ | $1/3$ | $1 + u/3$        |
| $^3P$ | 1             | $\sqrt{6}$  | $1/2$ | $1 + u/2$        |
|       | 2             | $\sqrt{15}$ | $1/3$ | $1 + u/3$        |
|       | 3             | $\sqrt{28}$ | $1/4$ | $1 + u/4$        |
|       | 4             | $\sqrt{45}$ | $1/5$ | $1 + u/5$        |

most appropriate model for atomic or molecular systems. We will return to this point below.

The wave function (3) reduces to the polynomial

$$S_{n,m}(u) = \sum_{k=0}^n s_k u^k \quad (8)$$

(where  $m$  the number of roots between 0 and  $2R$ ) if, and only if,  $s_{n+1} = s_{n+2} = 0$ . Thus, the energy  $E_{n,m}$  is a root of the polynomial equation  $s_{n+1} = 0$  (where  $\deg s_{n+1} = \lfloor (n+1)/2 \rfloor$ ) and the corresponding radius  $R_{n,m}$  is found from (4) which yields

$$R_{n,m}^2 E_{n,m} = \frac{n}{2} \left( \frac{n}{2} + \mathcal{D} - 1 \right) \quad (9)$$

$S_{n,m}(u)$  is the exact wave function of the  $m$ -th excited state of  $^1S$  symmetry for the radius  $R_{n,m}$ .

If we write the  $^3P$  state wave function as [20]

$$^3\Psi = (\cos \theta_1 - \cos \theta_2) T(u) \quad (10)$$

where  $\theta_1$  and  $\theta_2$  are the  $\mathcal{D}$ -th hyperspherical angles of the two electrons [21, 22], the symmetric part satisfies the Schrödinger equation

$$\left[ \frac{u^2}{4R^2} - 1 \right] \frac{d^2 T}{du^2} + \left[ \frac{(2\mathcal{D}+1)u}{4R^2} - \frac{\mathcal{D}+1}{u} \right] \frac{dT}{du} + \frac{T}{u} = ET \quad (11)$$

and the antisymmetric part provides an additional kinetic energy contribution  $\mathcal{D}/(2R^2)$ .

Substituting the power series expansion

$$T(u) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} t_k u^k \quad (12)$$

into (11) yields the recurrence relation

$$t_{k+2} = \frac{t_{k+1} + \left[ k(k+2\mathcal{D}) \frac{1}{4R^2} - E \right] t_k}{(k+2)(k+\mathcal{D}+2)} \quad (13)$$

with the starting values

$$\{t_0, t_1\} = \{1, 1/(\mathcal{D}+1)\} \quad (14)$$

yielding the cusp condition

$$\frac{T'(0)}{T(0)} = \frac{1}{\mathcal{D}+1} \quad (15)$$

The wave function (12) reduces to the polynomial

$$T_{n,m}(u) = \sum_{k=0}^n t_k u^k \quad (16)$$

when the energy  $E_{n,m}$  is a root of  $t_{n+1} = 0$  and the corresponding radius  $R_{n,m}$  is found from (13) which yields

$$R_{n,m}^2 E_{n,m} = \frac{n}{2} \left( \frac{n}{2} + \mathcal{D} \right) \quad (17)$$

$T_{n,m}(u)$  is the exact wave function of the  $m$ -th excited state of  $^3P$  symmetry for the radius  $R_{n,m}$ .

It is illuminating to begin by examining the simplest  $^1S$  and  $^3P$  polynomial solutions. Except in the  $\mathcal{D} = 1$  case, the first  $^1S$  solution has

$$R_{1,0} = \sqrt{\frac{(2\mathcal{D}-1)(2\mathcal{D}-2)}{8}} \quad E_{1,0} = \frac{1}{\mathcal{D}-1} \quad (18)$$

and the first  $^3P$  solution has

$$R_{1,0} = \sqrt{\frac{(2\mathcal{D}+1)(2\mathcal{D}+2)}{8}} \quad E_{1,0} = \frac{1}{\mathcal{D}+1} \quad (19)$$

These are tabulated for  $\mathcal{D} = 1, 2, 3, 4$ , together with the associated wave functions, in Table I.

In the  $\mathcal{D} = 1$  case (*i.e.* two electrons on a circle), the first singlet and triplet solutions have  $E_{2,0} = 2/3$  and  $E_{1,0} = 1/2$ , respectively, for the same value of the radius ( $\sqrt{6}/2 \approx 1.2247$ ). The corresponding wave functions are related by  $S_{2,0} = u T_{1,0}$ . Unlike  $T_{1,0}$ , the singlet wave-function  $S_{2,0}$  vanishes at  $u = 0$ , and exhibits a second-order cusp condition, as shown in (6).

For the 2-spherium ( $\mathcal{D} = 2$  case), we know from our previous work [19] that the HF energy of the lowest  $^1S$  state is  $E_{\text{HF}} = 1/R$ . It follows that the exact correlation energy for  $R = \sqrt{3}/2$  is  $E_{\text{corr}} = 1 - 2/\sqrt{3} \approx -0.1547$  which is much larger than the limiting correlation energies of the helium-like ions ( $-0.0467$ ) [26] or Hooke's law atoms ( $-0.0497$ ) [27]. This confirms our view that electron correlation on the surface of a sphere is qualitatively different from that in three-dimensional physical space.

The 3-spherium ( $\mathcal{D} = 3$  case), in contrast, possesses the same singlet and triplet cusp conditions — Eqs. (7) and (15) — as those for electrons moving in three-dimensional physical space. Indeed, the wave functions in Table I

$$S_{1,0}(u) = 1 + u/2 \quad (R = \sqrt{5}/2) \quad (20)$$

$$T_{1,0}(u) = 1 + u/4 \quad (R = \sqrt{7}) \quad (21)$$

have precisely the form of the ansatz used in Kutzelnigg's increasingly popular R12 methods [5, 6]. Moreover, it

TABLE II: Radii  $R_{n,m}$  and energies  $E_{n,m}$  for  $^1S$  states of two electrons on a  $\mathcal{D}$ -sphere ( $\mathcal{D}=1,2,3$ )

|        | $n/m$ | $\mathcal{D} = 1$ |        |        |        | $\mathcal{D} = 2$ |        |        |        | $\mathcal{D} = 3$ |        |        |        |
|--------|-------|-------------------|--------|--------|--------|-------------------|--------|--------|--------|-------------------|--------|--------|--------|
|        |       | 0                 | 1      | 2      | 3      | 0                 | 1      | 2      | 3      | 0                 | 1      | 2      | 3      |
| Radius | 1     |                   |        |        |        | 0.8660            |        |        |        | 1.5811            |        |        |        |
|        | 2     | 1.2247            |        |        |        | 2.6458            |        |        |        | 4.0620            |        |        |        |
|        | 3     | 3.3912            |        |        |        | 5.4312            | 1.4150 |        |        | 7.5154            | 2.2404 |        |        |
|        | 4     | 6.5439            | 1.9178 |        |        | 9.2211            | 3.7379 |        |        | 11.961            | 5.3320 |        |        |
|        | 5     | 10.693            | 4.7071 |        |        | 14.012            | 7.0848 | 1.9256 |        | 17.404            | 9.3775 | 2.8554 |        |
|        | 6     | 15.841            | 8.4583 | 2.5522 |        | 19.804            | 11.448 | 4.7683 |        | 23.846            | 14.410 | 6.5350 |        |
|        | 7     | 21.989            | 13.199 | 5.9404 |        | 26.597            | 16.817 | 8.6593 | 2.4123 | 31.287            | 20.439 | 11.158 | 3.4415 |
|        | 8     | 29.136            | 18.936 | 10.277 | 3.1515 | 34.389            | 23.190 | 13.583 | 5.7566 | 39.728            | 27.466 | 16.768 | 7.6903 |
| Energy | 1     |                   |        |        |        | 1.0000            |        |        |        | 0.5000            |        |        |        |
|        | 2     | 0.6667            |        |        |        | 0.2857            |        |        |        | 0.1818            |        |        |        |
|        | 3     | 0.1957            |        |        |        | 0.1271            | 1.8729 |        |        | 0.0930            | 1.0459 |        |        |
|        | 4     | 0.0934            | 1.0875 |        |        | 0.0706            | 0.4294 |        |        | 0.0559            | 0.2814 |        |        |
|        | 5     | 0.0547            | 0.2821 |        |        | 0.0446            | 0.1743 | 2.3597 |        | 0.0371            | 0.1279 | 1.3798 |        |
|        | 6     | 0.0359            | 0.1258 | 1.3817 |        | 0.0306            | 0.0916 | 0.5278 |        | 0.0264            | 0.0722 | 0.3512 |        |
|        | 7     | 0.0253            | 0.0703 | 0.3471 |        | 0.0223            | 0.0557 | 0.2100 | 2.7065 | 0.0197            | 0.0461 | 0.1546 | 1.6253 |
|        | 8     | 0.0188            | 0.0446 | 0.1515 | 1.6110 | 0.0169            | 0.0372 | 0.1084 | 0.6035 | 0.0152            | 0.0318 | 0.0854 | 0.4058 |

TABLE III: Radii  $R_{n,m}$  and energies  $E_{n,m}$  for  $^3P$  states of two electrons on a  $\mathcal{D}$ -sphere ( $\mathcal{D}=1,2,3$ )

|        | $n/m$ | $\mathcal{D} = 1$ |        |        |        | $\mathcal{D} = 2$ |        |        |        | $\mathcal{D} = 3$ |        |        |        |
|--------|-------|-------------------|--------|--------|--------|-------------------|--------|--------|--------|-------------------|--------|--------|--------|
|        |       | 0                 | 1      | 2      | 3      | 0                 | 1      | 2      | 3      | 0                 | 1      | 2      | 3      |
| Radius | 1     | 1.2247            |        |        |        | 1.9365            |        |        |        | 2.6458            |        |        |        |
|        | 2     | 3.3912            |        |        |        | 4.7958            |        |        |        | 6.2048            |        |        |        |
|        | 3     | 6.5439            | 1.9178 |        |        | 8.6227            | 2.6738 |        |        | 10.718            | 3.4111 |        |        |
|        | 4     | 10.693            | 4.7071 |        |        | 13.435            | 6.2041 |        |        | 16.205            | 7.6748 |        |        |
|        | 5     | 15.841            | 8.4583 | 2.5522 |        | 19.241            | 10.665 | 3.3588 |        | 22.678            | 12.852 | 4.1285 |        |
|        | 6     | 21.989            | 13.199 | 5.9404 |        | 26.043            | 16.094 | 7.5340 |        | 30.142            | 18.979 | 9.0701 |        |
|        | 7     | 29.136            | 18.936 | 10.277 | 3.1515 | 33.842            | 22.505 | 12.615 | 4.0095 | 38.600            | 26.077 | 14.897 | 4.8130 |
|        | 8     | 37.283            | 25.671 | 15.599 | 7.1177 | 42.640            | 29.907 | 18.650 | 8.8083 | 48.054            | 34.155 | 21.654 | 10.411 |
| Energy | 1     | 0.5000            |        |        |        | 0.3333            |        |        |        | 0.2500            |        |        |        |
|        | 2     | 0.1739            |        |        |        | 0.1304            |        |        |        | 0.1039            |        |        |        |
|        | 3     | 0.0876            | 1.0196 |        |        | 0.0706            | 0.7343 |        |        | 0.0588            | 0.5801 |        |        |
|        | 4     | 0.0525            | 0.2708 |        |        | 0.0443            | 0.2078 |        |        | 0.0381            | 0.1698 |        |        |
|        | 5     | 0.0349            | 0.1223 | 1.3433 |        | 0.0304            | 0.0989 | 0.9972 |        | 0.0267            | 0.0832 | 0.8067 |        |
|        | 6     | 0.0248            | 0.0689 | 0.3401 |        | 0.0221            | 0.0579 | 0.2643 |        | 0.0198            | 0.0500 | 0.2188 |        |
|        | 7     | 0.0186            | 0.0439 | 0.1491 | 1.5858 | 0.0168            | 0.0380 | 0.1210 | 1.1974 | 0.0153            | 0.0335 | 0.1025 | 0.9821 |
|        | 8     | 0.0144            | 0.0303 | 0.0822 | 0.3948 | 0.0132            | 0.0268 | 0.0690 | 0.3093 | 0.0121            | 0.0240 | 0.0597 | 0.2583 |

can be shown [28] that, as  $R \rightarrow 0$ , the correlation energy  $E_{\text{corr}}$  approaches  $-0.0476$ , which nestles between the corresponding values for the helium-like ions ( $-0.0467$ ) [26] and the Hooke's law atom ( $-0.0497$ ) [27]. Again, this suggests that the  $\mathcal{D} = 3$  model ("electrons on a hypersphere") bears more similarity to common physical systems than the  $\mathcal{D} = 2$  model ("electrons on a sphere").

Numerical values of the energies and radii, for polynomial wave functions in  $\mathcal{D} = 1, 2, 3$ , are reported in Table

II (for  $^1S$  states) and Table III (for  $^3P$  states).

For fixed  $\mathcal{D}$ , the radii increase with  $n$  but decrease with  $m$ , and the energies behave in exactly the opposite way. As  $R$  (or equivalently  $n$ ) increases, the electrons tend to localize on opposite sides of the sphere, a phenomenon known as Wigner crystallization [29] which has also been observed in other systems [11, 30]. As a result, for large  $R$ , the ground state energies of both the singlet and triplet state approach  $1/(2R)$ . Analogous behavior

is observed when  $\mathcal{D} \rightarrow \infty$  [31, 32].

In conclusion, we have shown that the system of two electrons, interacting via a Coulomb potential but constrained to remain on a  $\mathcal{D}$ -sphere, can be solved exactly for an infinite set of values of the radius  $R$ . We find that the 3-spherium ( $\mathcal{D} = 3$  model), wherein the electrons are confined to a three-dimensional surface of a four-dimensional ball, has greater similarity to normal physical systems than the more familiar  $\mathcal{D} = 2$  case.

We believe that our results will be useful in the future development of correlation functionals within density-functional theory [33], intracule functional theory [34–39], and explicitly correlated methods [5–8]. They also shed new light on dimension-dependent correlation effects, and may be used as an alternative system for studying quantum dots [40].

PMWG thanks the APAC Merit Allocation Scheme for a grant of supercomputer time and the Australian Research Council (Grant DP0664466) for funding.

---

\* Corresponding author; Electronic address: peter.gill@anu.edu.au

- [1] A. G. Ushveridze, *Quasi-Exactly Solvable Models in Quantum Mechanics* (Institute of Physics Publishing, 1994).
- [2] P. Hohenberg and W. Kohn, Phys. Rev. **136**, B864 (1964).
- [3] W. Kohn and L. Sham, Phys. Rev. **140**, A1133 (1965).
- [4] R. G. Parr and W. Yang, *Density Functional Theory for Atoms and Molecules* (Oxford University Press, 1989).
- [5] W. Kutzelnigg, Theor. Chim. Acta **68**, 445 (1985).
- [6] W. Kutzelnigg and W. Klopper, J. Chem. Phys. **94**, 1985 (1991).
- [7] T. M. Henderson and R. J. Bartlett, Phys. Rev. A **70**, 022512 (2004).
- [8] D. Bokhan, S. Ten-no, and J. Noga, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. **10**, 3320 (2008).
- [9] N. R. Kestner and O. Sinanoglu, Phys. Rev. **128**, 2687 (1962).
- [10] S. Kais, D. R. Herschbach, and R. D. Levine, J. Chem. Phys. **91**, 7791 (1989).
- [11] M. Taut, Phys. Rev. A **48**, 3561 (1993).
- [12] G. S. Ezra and R. S. Berry, Phys. Rev. A **25**, 1513 (1982).
- [13] G. S. Ezra and R. S. Berry, Phys. Rev. A **28**, 1989 (1983).
- [14] P. C. Ojha and R. S. Berry, Phys. Rev. A **36**, 1575 (1987).
- [15] R. J. Hinde and R. S. Berry, Phys. Rev. A **42**, 2259 (1990).
- [16] J. W. Warner and R. S. Berry, Nature **313**, 160 (1985).
- [17] M. Seidl, J. P. Perdew, and S. Kurth, Phys. Rev. Lett. **84**, 5070 (2000).
- [18] M. Seidl, Phys. Rev. A **75**, 062506 (2007).
- [19] P.-F. Loos and P. M. W. Gill, Phys. Rev. A **79**, 062517 (2009).
- [20] G. Breit, Phys. Rev. **35**, 569 (1930).
- [21] J. D. Louck, J. Mol. Spectrosc. **4**, 298 (1960).
- [22] D. L. Knirk, Phys. Rev. Lett. **32**, 651 (1974).
- [23] A. Ronveaux, ed., *Heun's Differential Equations* (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1995).
- [24] A. D. Polyanin and V. F. Zaitsev, *Handbook of Exact solutions for Differential Equations* (Chapman & Hall/CRC, 2003).
- [25] T. Kato, Commun. Pure Appl. Math. **10**, 151 (1957).
- [26] J. D. Baker, D. E. Freund, R. N. Hill, and J. D. Morgan III, Phys. Rev. A **41**, 1241 (1990).
- [27] P. M. W. Gill and D. P. O'Neill, J. Chem. Phys. **122**, 094110 (2005).
- [28] P. F. Loos, A. T. B. Gilbert, and P. M. W. Gill (in preparation).
- [29] E. Wigner, Phys. Rev. **46**, 1002 (1934).
- [30] D. C. Thompson and A. Alavi, Phys. Rev. B **69**, 201302 (2004).
- [31] L. G. Yaffe, Rev. Mod. Phys. **54**, 407 (1982).
- [32] D. Z. Goodson and D. R. Herschbach, J. Chem. Phys. **86**, 4997 (1987).
- [33] P. Gori-Giorgi, G. Vignale, and M. Seidl, J. Chem. Theor. Comput. **5**, 743 (2009).
- [34] P. M. W. Gill, D. L. Crittenden, D. P. O'Neill, and N. A. Besley, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. **8**, 15 (2006).
- [35] E. E. Dumont, D. L. Crittenden, and P. M. W. Gill, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. **9**, 5340 (2007).
- [36] D. L. Crittenden and P. M. W. Gill, J. Chem. Phys. **127**, 014101 (2007).
- [37] D. L. Crittenden, E. E. Dumont, and P. M. W. Gill, J. Chem. Phys. **127**, 141103 (2007).
- [38] Y. A. Bernard, D. L. Crittenden, and P. M. W. Gill, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. **10**, 3447 (2008).
- [39] J. K. Pearson, D. L. Crittenden, and P. M. W. Gill, J. Chem. Phys. **130**, 164110 (2009).
- [40] T. M. Henderson, K. Runge, and R. J. Bartlett, Chem. Phys. Lett. **337**, 138 (2001).